Grade 3 Reading

Grade 3 Reading		<u> </u>	<del>-</del>		Т		
		District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended	% Tested (In	% Not Meeting	ievement Lev % Met Standard	% Commended
<b>Student Groups</b>	Year	(Advanced)	(Advanced)	District)	Standard(Basic)	(Proficient)	(Advanced)
		0.7	02	. 000/	2	26	61
All Students	2007-08	97	92	>99%	3	36	61
Amorican T Jia	2008-09	98	93	>99%	2 *	43 *	*
American Indian			94		*		
	2008-09	*	94	*		*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	97	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	97	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	*	89	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	88	*	*	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	92	90	>99%	8	31	62
	2008-09	*	91	>99%	*	*	*
White	2007-08	98	97	>99%	2	35	63
	2008-09	98	97	>99%	2	41	56
Female	2007-08	99	94	>99%	1	39	59
	2008-09	98	94	>99%	2	38	60
Male	2007-08	96	91	>99%	4	33	63
	2008-09	99	92	>99%	1	48	51
Special Education	2007-08	94	78	>99%	6	72	22
	2008-09	94	85	>99%	6	65	29
LEP	2007-08	83	88	>99%	17	33	50
	2008-09	*	89	>99%	*	*	*
Economically	2007-08						
Disadvantaged		94	89	>99%	6	48	46
	2008-09	96	90	>99%	4	52	44
Migrant	2007-08	*	84	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	84	*	*	*	*

**Grade 3 Mathematics** 

Grade 3 Mathematics								
		District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient)	%	Percent of Students in District at Each Achievement Level			
		and Commended (Advanced)	and Commended (Advanced)	Tested (In District)	% Not Meeting Standard(Basic)	Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)	
Student Groups	Year							
All Students	2007-08	95	82	>99%	5	57	38	
	2008-09	93	83	>99%	7	49	44	
American Indian	2007-08	*	83	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	86	*	*	*	*	
Asian	2007-08	*	94	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	95	*	*	*	*	
Black	2007-08	*	71	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	74	*	*	*	*	
Hispanic	2007-08	85	80	>99%	15	62	23	
	2008-09	96	80	>99%	4	57	39	
White	2007-08	96	90	>99%	4	56	40	
	2008-09	93	91	>99%	7	45	48	
Female	2007-08	92	82	>99%	8	57	35	
	2008-09	94	83	>99%	6	49	45	
Male	2007-08	99	83	>99%	1	57	41	
	2008-09	92	84	>99%	8	48	44	
Special Education	2007-08	94	68	>99%	6	67	28	
	2008-09	88	74	>99%	12	53	35	
LEP	2007-08	83	78	>99%	17	67	17	
	2008-09	*	79	>99%	*	*	*	
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	94	77	>99%	6	63	31	
	2008-09	92	78	>99%	8	59	33	
Migrant	2007-08	*	73	*	*	*	*	
<del>8</del>	2008-09	*	75	*	*	*	*	

Grade 4 Reading

Grade 4 Reading								
		District % of Students Met Standard	State % of Students Met Standard		Percent of Students in District at Each Achievement Level			
		(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In District)	% Not Meeting Standard(Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)	
<b>Student Groups</b>	Year							
All Students	2007-08	93	82	99	7	58	35	
	2008-09	94	84	99	6	53	41	
American Indian	2007-08	*	84	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	86	*	*	*	*	
Asian	2007-08	*	93	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	93	*	*	*	*	
Black	2007-08	60	74	>99%	40	*	*	
	2008-09	*	77	*	*	*	*	
Hispanic	2007-08	83	77	>99%	17	67	17	
	2008-09	72	80	>99%	28	44	28	
White	2007-08	95	91	99	5	57	38	
	2008-09	97	92	98	3	54	43	
Female	2007-08	93	84	>99%	7	57	35	
	2008-09	95	86	>99%	5	50	45	
Male	2007-08	94	80	99	6	58	35	
	2008-09	92	81	98	8	55	37	
Special Education	2007-08	94	63	>99%	6	76	18	
	2008-09	93	69	88	7	80	13	
LEP	2007-08	*	69	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	57	74	>99%	43	43	14	
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	89	75	>99%	11	70	20	
	2008-09	92	78	98	8	62	31	
Migrant	2007-08	*	67	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	72	*	*	*	*	

#### **Grade 4 Mathematics**

Grade 4 Mathematics								
		District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient)	State % of Students Met Standard		Percent of Students in District at Each Achievement Level			
		and Commended (Advanced)	(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In District)	% Not Meeting Standard(Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)	
<b>Student Groups</b>	Year							
All Students	2007-08	94	83	99	6	59	35	
	2008-09	95	85	99	5	48	47	
American Indian	2007-08	*	83	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	85	*	*	*	*	
Asian	2007-08	*	95	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	95	*	*	*	*	
Black	2007-08	80	73	>99%	20	*	*	
	2008-09	*	76	*	*	*	*	
Hispanic	2007-08	83	80	>99%	17	67	17	
	2008-09	83	83	>99%	17	61	22	
White	2007-08	96	90	99	4	58	38	
	2008-09	96	91	98	4	44	52	
Female	2007-08	97	83	>99%	3	63	34	
	2008-09	95	85	>99%	5	47	47	
Male	2007-08	92	83	99	8	56	36	
	2008-09	95	85	98	5	49	46	
Special Education	2007-08	94	63	>99%	6	76	18	
	2008-09	87	71	88	13	73	13	
LEP	2007-08	*	75	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	71	79	>99%	29	*	*	
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	91	78	>99%	9	66	25	
	2008-09	*	80	98	*	*	*	
Migrant	2007-08	*	74	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	78	*	*	*	*	

**Grade 5 Reading** 

	Grade 5 Reading							
Not Met   Not			Students Met	Students Met				
All Students   2007-08   98   89   >99%   2   53   45			and Commended	and Commended	Tested (In	Not Meeting	Met Standard	% Commended (Advanced)
Marican Indian   2007-08   *   91   *   *   *   *   *   *     *   *       *   *	<b>Student Groups</b>	Year						
American Indian         2007-08         *         91         *	All Students	2007-08	98	89	>99%	2	53	45
Asian   2007-08   *   89   *   *   *   *   *   *   *   *   *		2008-09	98	89	>99%	2	54	44
Asian         2007-08         *         95         * <t< td=""><td>American Indian</td><th>2007-08</th><td>*</td><td>91</td><td>*</td><td>*</td><td>*</td><td>*</td></t<>	American Indian	2007-08	*	91	*	*	*	*
Black   2008-09   *   95   *   *   *   *   *   *   *   *   *		2008-09	*	89	*	*	*	*
Black   2007-08	Asian	2007-08	*	95	*	*	*	*
Hispanic   2008-09   86   85   >99%   14   * *   *		2008-09	*	95	*	*	*	*
Hispanic         2007-08         91         86         >99%         9         *         *           White         2008-09         *         85         >99%         *         *         *           White         2007-08         99         95         >99%         1         48         51           2008-09         98         95         >99%         2         51         47           Female         2007-08         96         90         >99%         4         49         47           2008-09         97         90         >99%         3         43         54           Male         2007-08         *         88         >99%         *         *         *           Special Education         2007-08         95         69         >99%         5         70         25           2008-09         *         78         >99%         *         *         *           LEP         2007-08         *         72         *         *         *         *           Economically Disadvantaged         95         84         >99%         5         69         26           2008-09         95	Black	2007-08	*	85	*	*	*	*
White         2008-09         *         85         >99%         *         *         *         *           2007-08         99         95         >99%         1         48         51           2008-09         98         95         >99%         2         51         47           Female         2007-08         96         90         >99%         4         49         47           2008-09         97         90         >99%         3         43         54           Male         2007-08         *         88         >99%         *         *         *           2008-09         99         88         >99%         1         64         35           Special Education         2007-08         95         69         >99%         5         70         25           2008-09         *         78         >99%         *         *         *         *           LEP         2007-08         *         72         *         *         *         *         *           Economically Disadvantaged         95         84         >99%         5         69         26           2008-09         <		2008-09	86	85	>99%	14	*	*
White         2007-08         99         95         >99%         1         48         51           2008-09         98         95         >99%         2         51         47           Female         2007-08         96         90         >99%         4         49         47           2008-09         97         90         >99%         3         43         54           Male         2007-08         *         88         >99%         *         *         *           2008-09         99         88         >99%         1         64         35           Special Education         2007-08         95         69         >99%         5         70         25           2008-09         *         78         >99%         *         *         *         *           LEP         2007-08         *         72         *         *         *         *           Economically Disadvantaged         95         84         >99%         5         69         26           2008-09         95         84         >99%         5         69         26           2008-09         95         84	Hispanic	2007-08	91	86	>99%	9	*	*
Pemale   2008-09   98   95   >99%   2   51   47		2008-09	*	85	>99%	*	*	*
Female         2007-08         96         90         >99%         4         49         47           2008-09         97         90         >99%         3         43         54           Male         2007-08         *         88         >99%         *         *         *           2008-09         99         88         >99%         1         64         35           Special Education         2007-08         95         69         >99%         5         70         25           2008-09         *         78         >99%         *         *         *         *           LEP         2007-08         *         72         *         *         *         *           Economically Disadvantaged         95         84         >99%         5         69         26           2008-09         95         84         >99%         5         69         26           2008-09         95         84         >99%         5         69         26	White	2007-08	99	95	>99%	1	48	51
Male   2008-09   97   90   >99%   3   43   54     Male   2007-08   *   88   >99%   *   *   *     2008-09   99   88   >99%   1   64   35     Special Education   2007-08   95   69   >99%   5   70   25     2008-09   *   78   >99%   *   *   *     LEP   2007-08   *   72   *   *   *   *     Economically Disadvantaged   95   84   >99%   5   69   26     2008-09   95   84   >99%   5   71   24		2008-09	98	95	>99%	2	51	47
Male       2007-08       *       88       >99%       *       *       *         2008-09       99       88       >99%       1       64       35         Special Education       2007-08       95       69       >99%       5       70       25         2008-09       *       78       >99%       *       *       *       *         LEP       2007-08       *       72       *       *       *       *         Economically Disadvantaged       95       84       >99%       5       69       26         2008-09       95       84       >99%       5       71       24	Female	2007-08	96	90	>99%	4	49	47
2008-09   99   88   >99%   1   64   35		2008-09	97	90	>99%	3	43	54
Special Education         2007-08         95         69         >99%         5         70         25           2008-09         *         78         >99%         *         *         *           LEP         2007-08         *         72         *         *         *         *           2008-09         *         72         *         *         *         *         *           Economically Disadvantaged         95         84         >99%         5         69         26           2008-09         95         84         >99%         5         71         24	Male	2007-08	*	88	>99%	*	*	*
2008-09     *     78     >99%     *     *     *       LEP     2007-08     *     72     *     *     *     *       2008-09     *     72     *     *     *     *       Economically Disadvantaged     95     84     >99%     5     69     26       2008-09     95     84     >99%     5     71     24		2008-09	99	88	>99%	1	64	35
LEP     2007-08     *     72     *     *     *     *       2008-09     *     72     *     *     *     *       Economically Disadvantaged     95     84     >99%     5     69     26       2008-09     95     84     >99%     5     71     24	Special Education	2007-08	95	69	>99%	5	70	25
2008-09         *         72         *         *         *         *           Economically Disadvantaged         95         84         >99%         5         69         26           2008-09         95         84         >99%         5         71         24		2008-09	*	78	>99%	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged         2007-08         95         84         >99%         5         69         26           2008-09         95         84         >99%         5         71         24	LEP	2007-08	*	72	*	*	*	*
Disadvantaged         95         84         >99%         5         69         26           2008-09         95         84         >99%         5         71         24		2008-09	*	72	*	*	*	*
<b>2008-09</b> 95 84 >99% 5 71 24		2007-08	95	84	>99%	5	69	26
		2008-09						
1-1-18- MAIN   2001 00   100	Migrant							
2008-09 * 76 * * *	1.1181 mm							

## **Grade 5 Mathematics**

Grade 5 Mathematics							
		District % of Students Met Standard	State % of Students Met Standard		Percent of Stu Ach	idents in Dist ievement Lev	
		(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In District)	% Not Meeting Standard(Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
<b>Student Groups</b>	Year						
All Students	2007-08	99	88	>99%	1	48	51
	2008-09	97	90	>99%	3	39	57
American Indian	2007-08	*	89	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	88	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	96	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	97	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	*	80	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	83	>99%	*	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	*	85	>99%	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	87	>99%	*	*	*
White	2007-08	99	94	>99%	1	46	52
	2008-09	96	95	>99%	4	38	59
Female	2007-08	97	88	>99%	3	48	49
	2008-09	95	90	>99%	5	41	55
Male	2007-08	*	87	>99%	*	*	*
	2008-09	97	90	>99%	3	38	59
<b>Special Education</b>	2007-08	95	64	>99%	5	55	40
	2008-09	88	78	>99%	12	35	53
LEP	2007-08	*	74	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	78	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	96	83	>99%	4	65	32
	2008-09	*	86	>99%	*	*	*
Migrant	2007-08	*	78	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	81	*	*	*	*

## **Grade 5 Science**

		District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In District)		tudents in District at Eac chievement Level  % Met % Standard Commer ) (Proficient) (Advance	
Student Groups	Year						
All Students	2007-08	87	78	99	13	39	48
	2008-09	88	82	99	12	44	44
American Indian	2007-08	*	83	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	85	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	89	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	92	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	*	67	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	57	73	>99%	43	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	82	73	>99%	18	45	36
	2008-09	80	77	>99%	20	60	20
White	2007-08	87	89	99	13	37	51
	2008-09	90	92	99	10	42	48
Female	2007-08	81	76	99	19	40	41
	2008-09	86	80	98	14	39	47
Male	2007-08	92	81	>99%	8	38	54
	2008-09	90	84	>99%	10	49	41
<b>Special Education</b>	2007-08	58	55	>99%	42	53	5
	2008-09	53	61	>99%	47	47	6
LEP	2007-08	*	54	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	61	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	79	71	>99%	21	46	32
	2008-09	79	75	98	21	53	26
Migrant	2007-08	*	63	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	67	*	*	*	*

Grade 6 Reading

Grade 6 Reading		District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In District)	Percent of Students in District at Eac Achievement Level    Met			
<b>Student Groups</b>	Year							
All Students	2007-08	99	90	>99%	1	46	52	
	2008-09	95	90	99	5	42	53	
American Indian	2007-08	*	92	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	92	*	*	*	*	
Asian	2007-08	*	96	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	96	*	*	*	*	
Black	2007-08	*	86	>99%	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	87	*	*	*	*	
Hispanic	2007-08	*	87	>99%	*	*	*	
	2008-09	92	87	>99%	8	85	8	
White	2007-08	98	95	>99%	2	43	56	
	2008-09	96	96	99	4	36	60	
Female	2007-08	98	93	>99%	2	41	57	
	2008-09	93	91	99	7	38	55	
Male	2007-08	99	87	>99%	1	51	48	
	2008-09	98	89	>99%	2	46	52	
<b>Special Education</b>	2007-08	*	66	>99%	*	*	*	
	2008-09	94	73	>99%	6	76	18	
LEP	2007-08	*	69	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	68	*	*	*	*	
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	98	85	>99%	2	61	36	
	2008-09	97	86	98	3	60	36	
Migrant	2007-08	*	78	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	78	*	*	*	*	

#### **Grade 6 Mathematics**

Grade 6 Mathematics								
		District % of Students Met Standard	State % of Students Met Standard		Percent of Students in District at Each Achievement Level			
		(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In District)	% Not Meeting Standard(Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)	
<b>Student Groups</b>	Year							
All Students	2007-08	94	79	>99%	6	49	46	
	2008-09	95	79	99	5	47	49	
American Indian	2007-08	*	80	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	81	*	*	*	*	
Asian	2007-08	*	94	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	94	*	*	*	*	
Black	2007-08	83	67	>99%	17	67	17	
	2008-09	*	69	*	*	*	*	
Hispanic	2007-08	89	75	>99%	11	67	22	
	2008-09	*	75	>99%	*	*	*	
White	2007-08	95	87	>99%	5	46	49	
	2008-09	95	88	99	5	45	50	
Female	2007-08	95	80	>99%	5	46	49	
	2008-09	99	80	99	1	51	48	
Male	2007-08	94	78	>99%	6	51	43	
	2008-09	93	78	>99%	7	43	49	
<b>Special Education</b>	2007-08	92	49	>99%	8	75	17	
	2008-09	88	59	>99%	12	65	24	
LEP	2007-08	*	59	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	61	*	*	*	*	
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	91	72	>99%	9	57	34	
	2008-09	97	73	98	3	57	40	
Migrant	2007-08	*	68	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	66	*	*	*	*	

Grade 7 Reading

Grade 7 Reading		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>		
		District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended	% Tested (In District)	Percent of Stu Ach % Not Meeting Standard(Basic)	idents in Dist ievement Lev % Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended
Student Groups	Year	(Advanced)	(Advanced)	District)	Standard(Dasic)	(Froncient)	(Advanced)
All Students	2007-08	95	84	>99%	5	61	34
An Students	2007-08	95	84		5	58	38
American Indian	2007-08	96 *	84	>99%	*	38 *	*
American mulan	2007-08	*	87	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	93	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	93	*	*	*	*
Black						*	*
Біаск	2007-08	80 *	78	>99%	20	*	*
Himania		*	79	>99%	*	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	*	79	>99%	*	*	*
XX71 •4	2008-09		78	>99%			
White	2007-08	96	91	>99%	4	61	36
<b>.</b> .	2008-09	95	91	>99%	5	53	42
Female	2007-08	95	87	>99%	5	56	39
3.6.1	2008-09	93	86	>99%	7	44	49
Male	2007-08	96	81	>99%	4	67	29
g	2008-09	97	81	>99%	3	68	29
<b>Special Education</b>	2007-08	83	57	>99%	17	61	22
	2008-09	*	61	>99%	*	*	*
LEP	2007-08	*	47	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	48	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	88	77	>99%	12	71	17
Disau vantageu	2008-09	95	77	>99%	5	65	30
Migrant	2007-08	*	67	*	*	*	*
wigi am	2007-08	*	67	*	*	*	*
	2000-09		0/	•	·	,	•

## **Grade 7 Mathematics**

Grade 7 Mathematics								
		District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient)	State % of Students Met Standard		Percent of Students in District at Each Achievement Level			
		and Commended (Advanced)	(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In District)	% Not Meeting Standard(Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)	
Student Groups	Year							
All Students	2007-08	95	75	>99%	5	72	23	
	2008-09	94	78	>99%	6	66	28	
American Indian	2007-08	*	78	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	78	*	*	*	*	
Asian	2007-08	*	93	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	93	*	*	*	*	
Black	2007-08	80	63	>99%	20	*	*	
	2008-09	67	66	>99%	33	*	*	
Hispanic	2007-08	83	69	>99%	17	67	17	
	2008-09	92	73	>99%	8	75	17	
White	2007-08	96	85	>99%	4	73	24	
	2008-09	96	87	>99%	4	64	31	
Female	2007-08	96	76	>99%	4	77	19	
	2008-09	93	79	>99%	7	61	33	
Male	2007-08	94	74	>99%	6	67	28	
	2008-09	95	77	>99%	5	70	25	
Special Education	2007-08	94	43	>99%	6	72	22	
	2008-09	78	56	>99%	22	56	22	
LEP	2007-08	*	46	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	55	*	*	*	*	
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	88	66	>99%	12	80	7	
	2008-09	91	71	>99%	9	67	23	
Migrant	2007-08	*	61	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	67	*	*	*	*	

Grade 8 Reading

Grade 8 Reading			<del>-</del>		T		
		District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended	% Tested (In	Percent of Stu Ach % Not Meeting	idents in Dist ievement Lev % Met Standard	
		(Advanced)	(Advanced)	District)	Standard(Basic)	(Proficient)	(Advanced)
<b>Student Groups</b>	Year						
All Students	2007-08	98	93	>99%	2	37	61
	2008-09	*	94	>99%	*	*	*
American Indian	2007-08	*	95	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	96	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	97	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	96	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	*	91	>99%	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	93	>99%	*	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	*	90	>99%	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	92	*	*	*	*
White	2007-08	97	97	>99%	3	32	65
	2008-09	*	98	>99%	*	*	*
Female	2007-08	99	95	>99%	1	33	66
	2008-09	*	95	>99%	*	*	*
Male	2007-08	97	92	>99%	3	41	56
	2008-09	*	93	>99%	*	*	*
<b>Special Education</b>	2007-08	86	73	>99%	14	64	21
	2008-09	*	82	>99%	*	*	*
LEP	2007-08	*	62	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	67	*	*	*	*
Economically	2007-08						
Disadvantaged		97	90	>99%	3	50	47
	2008-09	*	91	>99%	*	*	*
Migrant	2007-08	*	85	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	85	*	*	*	*

#### **Grade 8 Mathematics**

Grade 8 Mathematics								
		District % of State % of Students Met Met Standard Standard		%	Percent of Students in District at Each Achievement Level			
		(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	Tested (In District)	% Not Meeting Standard(Basic)	Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)	
<b>Student Groups</b>	Year							
All Students	2007-08	94	80	>99%	6	72	22	
	2008-09	98	83	99	2	69	28	
American Indian	2007-08	*	83	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	85	*	*	*	*	
Asian	2007-08	*	94	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	95	*	*	*	*	
Black	2007-08	67	68	>99%	33	50	17	
	2008-09	*	73	*	*	*	*	
Hispanic	2007-08	*	75	>99%	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	80	*	*	*	*	
White	2007-08	94	89	>99%	6	72	22	
	2008-09	98	92	>99%	2	69	28	
Female	2007-08	92	81	>99%	8	67	25	
	2008-09	96	83	>99%	4	67	29	
Male	2007-08	96	79	>99%	4	78	18	
	2008-09	*	83	99	*	*	*	
Special Education	2007-08	79	46	>99%	21	50	29	
	2008-09	94	66	>99%	6	76	18	
LEP	2007-08	*	47	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	57	*	*	*	*	
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	89	72	>99%	11	68	22	
	2008-09	97	77	98	3	87	10	
Migrant	2007-08	*	68	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	72	*	*	*	*	

## **Grade 8 Science**

Grade 8 Science								
		District % of Students Met Standard	State % of Students Met Standard	% Tested (In District)	Percent of Students in District at Each Achievement Level			
		(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)		% Not Meeting Standard(Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)	
Student Groups	Year							
All Students	2007-08	79	67	97	21	60	19	
	2008-09	88	71	96	12	65	23	
American Indian	2007-08	*	72	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	76	*	*	*	*	
Asian	2007-08	*	85	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	88	*	*	*	*	
Black	2007-08	67	54	86	33	50	17	
	2008-09	*	58	80	*	*	*	
Hispanic	2007-08	56	57	>99%	44	38	19	
	2008-09	*	63	*	*	*	*	
White	2007-08	84	82	97	16	64	20	
	2008-09	89	86	96	11	64	24	
Female	2007-08	77	65	>99%	23	65	12	
	2008-09	84	69	96	16	63	21	
Male	2007-08	81	69	94	19	55	27	
	2008-09	93	74	96	7	67	26	
Special Education	2007-08	62	40	81	38	46	15	
	2008-09	94	50	85	6	71	24	
LEP	2007-08	*	23	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	31	*	*	*	*	
Economically	2007-08							
Disadvantaged		67	55	97	33	53	14	
	2008-09	74	61	93	26	71	3	
Migrant	2007-08	*	47	*	*	*	*	
	2008-09	*	52	*	*	*	*	

**Grade 10 English Language Arts** 

Grade 10 English Lang	guage Arts				I		
	Distri Stu N Star		State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient)	%	Percent of Stu Ach	ndents in Dist nievement Lev %	
		(Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	and Commended (Advanced)	Tested (In District)	% Not Meeting Standard(Basic)	Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
<b>Student Groups</b>	Year						
All Students	2007-08	92	86	99	8	78	14
	2008-09	92	87	>99%	8	62	30
American Indian	2007-08	*	86	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	90	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	93	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	93	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	*	80	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	83	>99%	*	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	79	81	>99%	21	64	14
	2008-09	93	82	>99%	7	50	43
White	2007-08	93	91	99	7	80	13
	2008-09	91	93	>99%	9	64	27
Female	2007-08	91	89	>99%	9	74	16
	2008-09	92	90	>99%	8	58	34
Male	2007-08	93	82	99	7	83	10
	2008-09	91	84	>99%	9	66	26
Special Education	2007-08	77	58	>99%	23	69	8
	2008-09	63	62	>99%	38	56	6
LEP	2007-08	*	45	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	45	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	85	79	98	15	79	6
	2008-09	84	81	>99%	16	65	19
Migrant	2007-08	*	75	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	76	*	*	*	*

## **Grade 10 Mathematics**

<b>Frade 10 Mathematics</b>							
		District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient)	%		ievement Lev %	rel
		and Commended (Advanced)	and Commended (Advanced)	Tested (In District)	% Not Meeting Standard(Basic)	Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
Student Groups	Year						
All Students	2007-08	79	62	>99%	21	61	19
	2008-09	86	65	>99%	14	73	13
American Indian	2007-08	*	63	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	69	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	86	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	88	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	*	45	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	60	49	>99%	40	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	71	53	>99%	29	*	*
	2008-09	93	57	>99%	7	*	*
White	2007-08	80	75	>99%	20	60	20
	2008-09	86	77	>99%	14	73	14
Female	2007-08	78	62	>99%	22	62	16
	2008-09	91	65	>99%	9	78	13
Male	2007-08	81	62	>99%	19	60	21
	2008-09	82	64	>99%	18	69	13
Special Education	2007-08	54	30	>99%	46	*	*
	2008-09	63	39	>99%	38	*	*
LEP	2007-08	*	28	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	32	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	69	50	>99%	31	60	9
	2008-09	80	54	>99%	20	74	6
Migrant	2007-08	*	47	*	*	*	*
		*	50	*	*		*

#### Grade 10 Science

Grade 10 Science			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Т		
		District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended	% Tested (In	% Not Meeting	ievement Lev % Met Standard	% Commended
<u> </u>	<b>T</b> 7	(Advanced)	(Advanced)	District)	Standard(Basic)	(Proficient)	(Advanced)
Student Groups	Year						
All Students	2007-08	78	63	>99%	22	53	25
	2008-09	82	65	>99%	18	65	17
American Indian	2007-08	*	69	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	75	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	82	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	84	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	*	47	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	40	50	>99%	60	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	64	52	>99%	36	57	7
	2008-09	71	54	>99%	29	*	*
White	2007-08	80	80	>99%	20	53	27
	2008-09	85	82	>99%	15	66	18
Female	2007-08	74	60	>99%	26	56	18
	2008-09	81	62	>99%	19	69	13
Male	2007-08	83	66	>99%	17	50	33
	2008-09	82	68	>99%	18	62	21
<b>Special Education</b>	2007-08	54	35	>99%	46	31	23
	2008-09	44	41	>99%	56	*	*
LEP	2007-08	*	19	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	21	*	*	*	*
Economically	2007-08						
Disadvantaged		72	49	>99%	28	54	17
	2008-09	69	52	>99%	31	57	11
Migrant	2007-08	*	41	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	42	*	*	*	*

# **Footnotes**

Student Achievement results are rounded to the nearest whole percent.

Special formats ('\*', >99%, <1%, 'n/a') are used in order to comply with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). For detailed information, please see the Explanation of NCLB School Report Card Data Masking Rules.

# **Contact Information**

Questions regarding Part I of the No Child Left Behind School Report Card should be directed to the Division of Performance Reporting. Contact us at (512) 463-9704 or performance.reporting@tea.state.tx.us.

Part II a. -- District Level: Comparison between student achievement in the LEA as a whole and for the state and the state's academic expectations in reading/language arts and mathematics, and information on the other academic indicators used for AYP determinations For CANTON ISD ( 234902 )

			Readin	g/Language A	rts		N	<b>Iathematics</b>	
		Percent Tested  Target: 95%	Percent Tested  Target: 95%	Percent Met Standard (Proficient) & Commended (Advanced) '08 Target: 60% '09 Target: 67%	Percent Met Standard (Proficient) & Commended (Advanced) '08 Target: 60% '09 Target: 67%	Percent Tested Target: 95%	Percent Tested Target: 95%	Percent Met Standard (Proficient) & Commended (Advanced)  '08 Target: 50% '09 Target: 58%	Percent Met Standard (Proficient) & Commended (Advanced)  '08 Target: 50% '09 Target: 58%
		District	State	District	State	District	State	District	State
Student Groups	Year								
All Students	2007-08	>99%	99	94	88	>99%	>99%	90	79
	2008-09	>99%	99	93	88	>99%	>99%	92	81
American Indian	2007-08	*	99	*	90	*	99	*	81
	2008-09	>99%	99	>99%	91	>99%	99	73	83
Asian	2007-08	>99%	>99%	>99%	95	>99%	>99%	>99%	94
	2008-09	>99%	99	>99%	95	>99%	>99%	>99%	94
Black	2007-08	>99%	>99%	82	83	>99%	99	75	68
	2008-09	>99%	>99%	84	84	>99%	>99%	84	70
Hispanic	2007-08	>99%	99	84	84	>99%	>99%	81	75
	2008-09	>99%	99	92	85	99	>99%	90	77
White	2007-08	>99%	>99%	95	94	>99%	>99%	92	88
	2008-09	>99%	>99%	93	94	>99%	>99%	92	89
Female	2007-08	>99%	>99%	94	90	>99%	>99%	91	80
	2008-09	>99%	99	94	90	>99%	>99%	93	81
Male	2007-08	>99%	99	93	86	>99%	>99%	90	79
	2008-09	>99%	99	92	86	99	>99%	90	81
Special Education	2007-08	>99%	99	61	62	>99%	99	52	50
	2008-09	98	99	57	65	98	99	54	57
LEP	2007-08	>99%	99	77	76	>99%	>99%	77	72
	2008-09	>99%	98	77	76	>99%	99	80	74
Economically Disadvantaged Continued)	2007-08	>99%	99	88	83	>99%	>99%	82	73

Part II a. -- District Level: Comparison between student achievement in the LEA as a whole and for the state and the state's academic expectations in reading/language arts and mathematics, and information on the other academic indicators used for AYP determinations
For CANTON ISD (234902)

		Additional Academic Indicators							
		Au	untional Acad	lenne muicau	718				
		Graduation Rate	Graduation Rate	Attendance Rate	Attendance Rate				
		Target:	Target: 70%	Target: 90%	Target: 90%				
		District	State	District	State				
Student Groups	Year								
All Students	2007-08	90.9	78.0	n/a	95.5				
	2008-09	95.1	79.1	n/a	95.5				
American Indian	2007-08	-	81.4	n/a	94.8				
	2008-09	-	81.7	n/a	94.8				
Asian	2007-08	-	91.5	n/a	97.5				
	2008-09	-	91.2	n/a	97.5				
Black	2007-08	81.8	70.7	n/a	95.0				
	2008-09	*	71.8	n/a	95.1				
Hispanic	2007-08	57.1	68.5	n/a	95.3				
	2008-09	100.0	70.8	n/a	95.4				
White	2007-08	94.2	88.2	n/a	95.7				
	2008-09	94.6	88.8	n/a	95.6				
Female	2007-08	93.8	80.3	n/a	95.5				
	2008-09	96.7	81.4	n/a	95.5				
Male	2007-08	87.5	75.8	n/a	95.5				
	2008-09	93.5	76.8	n/a	95.5				
Special Education	2007-08	85.7	70.3	n/a	94.0				
	2008-09	90.9	69.8	n/a	94.0				
LEP	2007-08	*	39.3	n/a	96.3				
	2008-09	-	44.2	n/a	96.4				
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	73.1	68.8	n/a	95.2				

(Continued)

Part II a. -- District Level: Comparison between student achievement in the LEA as a whole and for the state and the state's academic expectations in reading/language arts and mathematics, and information on the other academic indicators used for AYP determinations For CANTON ISD ( 234902 )

			Readin	g/Language A	rts		N	<b>Iathematics</b>	
		Percent Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met Standard (Proficient) & Commended	Percent Met Standard (Proficient) & Commended	Percent Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met Standard (Proficient) & Commended (Advanced)	Percent Met Standard (Proficient) & Commended (Advanced)
		Target: 95%	Target: 95%	(Advanced) '08 Target: 60% '09 Target: 67%	(Advanced) '08 Target: 60% '09 Target: 67%	Target: 95%	Target: 95%	'08 Target: 50% '09 Target: 58%	'08 Target: 50% '09 Target: 58%
		District	State	District	State	District	State	District	State
Student Groups	Year								
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	99	99	88	83	99	>99%	89	75
Migrant	2007-08	*	99	*	76	*	>99%	*	68
	2008-09	*	98	*	76	*	99	*	70

Part II a. -- District Level: Comparison between student achievement in the LEA as a whole and for the state and the state's academic expectations in reading/language arts and mathematics, and information on the other academic indicators used for AYP determinations For CANTON ISD (234902)

		Ad	lditional Acad	lemic Indicate	ors
		Graduation Rate	Graduation Rate	Attendance Rate	Attendance Rate
		Target: 70%	Target: 70%	Target: 90%	Target: 90%
		District	State	District	State
Student Groups	Year				
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	80.0	70.4	n/a	95.2
Migrant	2007-08	-	60.1	n/a	94.2
	2008-09	-	66.3	n/a	94.1

Part II a. -- District Level: Comparison between student achievement in the LEA as a whole and for the state and the state's academic expectations in reading/language arts and mathematics, and information on the other academic indicators used for AYP determinations
For CANTON ISD (234902)

# **Footnotes**

Student Achievement results are rounded to the nearest whole percent. Additional Academic Indicators (Graduation and Attendance Rate) are rounded to the nearest one-tenth of a percent (one decimal place).

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is measured for the following student groups: All Students, African American, Hispanic, White, Economically Disadvantaged, Special Education, and Limited English Proficient (LEP) students. Other student groups are shown for informational purposes only.

Special formats ('\*', >99%, <1%, 'n/a') are used in order to comply with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). For detailed information, please see the **Explanation of NCLB School Report Card Data Masking Rules.** 

# **Contact Information**

Questions regarding Part II a. of the No Child Left Behind School Report Card should be directed to the Division of Performance Reporting. Contact us at (512) 463-9704 or *performance.reporting@tea.state.tx.us*.

For CANTON ISD ( 234902 )

11:28 Friday, January 29, 2010

		Number
	Year	
State	2007-08	9,855
	2008-09	9,888
District	2007-08	0
	2008-09	0

# **Footnotes**

# **Contact Information**

Questions regarding Part II b. of the No Child Left Behind School Report Card should be directed to the Division of Performance Reporting. Contact us at (512) 463-9704 or *performance.reporting@tea.state.tx.us*.

<sup>\*</sup> The number of recent immigrant Limited English Proficient (LEP) students in their first year ofenrollment in US schools assessed on the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and not on Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) Reading/Language Arts.

Part III -- Additional accountability information for schools receiving Title I, Part A funds, including the total number of schools identified for school improvement, corrective action, and restructuring, under Section 1116, and the percentage of the schools in the LEA they represent, the name of each school identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring, and how long each school has been identified For CANTON ISD (234902)

11:28 Friday, January 29, 2010

District/School	Year	Met AYP	SIP
CANTON ISD ( 234902 )	2007-08	Meets AYP	NONE
	2008-09	Missed AYP	NONE
CANTON EL ( 234902101 )	2007-08	Meets AYP	NONE
	2008-09	Not Evaluated	NONE
CANTON H S ( 234902001 )	2007-08	Meets AYP	
	2008-09	Meets AYP	
CANTON INT ( 234902102 )	2007-08	Meets AYP	NONE
	2008-09	Meets AYP	NONE
CANTON J H ( 234902041 )	2007-08	Meets AYP	NONE
	2008-09	Meets AYP	NONE

# **Contact Information**

Questions regarding Part III of the No Child Left Behind School Report Card should be directed to the Division of Performance Reporting. Contact us at (512) 463-9704 or *performance.reporting@tea.state.tx.us*.

a. Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary teachers, as defined by the state (Teacher Degree), for the district as a whole and for each campus within the district For CANTON ISD (234902)

11:29 Friday, January 29, 2010

		Bachelors	Masters	Doctorate
Level	Year			
CANTON ISD ( 234902 )	2007-08	85.2	14.7	0.2
	2008-09	83.0	14.4	0.2
CANTON EL ( 234902101 )	2007-08	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided
	2008-09	75.5	24.5	0.0
CANTON H S ( 234902001 )	2007-08	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided
	2008-09	80.5	13.8	0.5
CANTON INT ( 234902102 )	2007-08	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided
	2008-09	87.2	9.9	0.0
CANTON J H ( 234902041 )	2007-08	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided
	2008-09	90.6	9.4	0.0

## **Footnotes**

Percent of Teachers are rounded to the nearest one-tenth of a percent (one decimal place).

Percentages reported by Teacher Degree may not sum to 100% either due to rounding or because the category "teachers with no degree" is not reported.

## **Contact Information**

Questions regarding Part IV a. of the No Child Left Behind School Report Card should be directed to the Division of Performance Reporting. Contact us at (512) 463-9704 or *performance.reporting@tea.state.tx.us*.

b. Percentage of public elementary and secondary teachers with emergency/provisional credentials for the district as a whole and for each campus For CANTON ISD (234902)

11:29 Friday, January 29, 2010

		%
Level	Year	
CANTON ISD ( 234902 )	2007-08	3.15
	2008-09	2.50
CANTON EL ( 234902101 )	2007-08	0.00
	2008-09	0.00
CANTON H S ( 234902001 )	2007-08	0.00
	2008-09	6.45
CANTON INT ( 234902102 )	2007-08	0.00
	2008-09	0.00
CANTON J H ( 234902041 )	2007-08	12.90
	2008-09	3.85
VAN ZANDT CO-OP ( 234902199 )	2007-08	-
	2008-09	-
VAN ZANDT/RAINS A E P COOP ( 234902003 )	2007-08	-
	2008-09	-

# **Footnotes**

Percent of Teachers are rounded to the nearest one-hundredth of a percent (two decimal places).

# **Contact Information**

Questions regarding Part IV b. of the No Child Left Behind School Report Card should be directed to the Division of NCLB Program Coordination.

Contact us at (512) 463-9374 or nclb@tea.state.tx.us.

c. For the district as a whole and for each school within the district, the percentage of classes not taught by highly qualified teachers of the ESEA (applies to public elementary and secondary school teachers who teach a core academic subject), in the aggregate and disaggregated by high poverty compared to low poverty schools (schools in the top quartile of poverty and the bottom quartile of poverty in the state)
For CANTON ISD (234902)

11:29 Friday, January 29, 2010

		%	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
Level	Year			
CANTON ISD ( 234902 )	2007-08	5.28	-	10.61
	2008-09	3.37	-	3.73
CANTON EL ( 234902101 )	2007-08	0.00	Not Required	Not Required
	2008-09	0.00	Not Required	Not Required
CANTON H S ( 234902001 )	2007-08	10.61	Not Required	Not Required
	2008-09	5.00	Not Required	Not Required
CANTON INT ( 234902102 )	2007-08	0.00	Not Required	Not Required
	2008-09	0.00	Not Required	Not Required
CANTON J H ( 234902041 )	2007-08	0.00	Not Required	Not Required
	2008-09	2.91	Not Required	Not Required
VAN ZANDT CO-OP ( 234902199 )	2007-08	-	Not Required	Not Required
	2008-09	-	Not Required	Not Required
VAN ZANDT/RAINS A E P COOP ( 234902003 )	2007-08	-	Not Required	Not Required
	2008-09	-	Not Required	Not Required

## **Footnotes**

Percent of Classes are rounded to the nearest one-hundredth of a percent (two decimal places).

#### **Contact Information**

Questions regarding Part IV c. of the No Child Left Behind School Report Card should be directed to the Division of NCLB Program Coordination.

Contact us at (512) 463-9374 or nclb@tea.state.tx.us.